

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,747		10/07/2003	Elena Casellini	BST-10302/38	5365
25006	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
		GROH, SPRINK	GALL, LLOYD A		
	PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3676	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
a en	10/680,747	CASELLINI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		3676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lloyd A. Gall ears on the cover sheet with the c					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De	ecember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— · · ·	···					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-23 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 4/8/05, 8/29/05 and 12/0 Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	$27/05$ is/are: a) \square accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Mily Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	4) ⊠ Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 4, line 1, --the-- should follow "wherein". The dependency of claim 5 was apparently inadvertently removed. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9 and 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DM/059931 reference in view of Cicourel, and either Rafter or Bianchi.

In the embodiments of figs. 6.2, 6.3, 2.2 and 2.3, the DM reference teaches a key head cover having apertures in the sidewalls to register with an opening in a key head, an outer wall having ends spaced from bottom edges of the first and second sidewalls, tactile features in figs. 6.2, 2.2, 2.3 which are both on the sidewalls as well as on the bottom edges of the sidewalls and which define a rear contact plane which is secured to the sidewalls, a house icon is shown in fig. 6.3. Cicourel teaches tactile features 5 on the sidewall of a key head cover, wherein the tactile features are secured throughout their rear contact plane with the plane of the sidewall on which they are secured, and wherein the tactile features are formed as s ingle material with the key head cover. Rafter teaches that a key head cover 12 in fig. 5 which includes a house icon may be formed from metal, as set forth in column 6, line 27. Bianchi teaches that a key head

Application/Control Number: 10/680,747 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

covering material 30is well known to be thermoplastic, as set forth in column 2, line 34 and column 3, line 1. It would have been obvious to form the tactile features of the DM reference as being secured throughout their rear contact plane to the plane of the sidewalls, and as a single material with the sidewalls, in view of the teaching of Cicouel, the motivation being to optimize the strength of the connection between the tactile features and the sidewall, as well as to simplify the assembly of the key head cover. It would have been obvious to form the key head cover of the DM reference as modified by Cicourel, to be formed from metal or thermoplastic, in view of the respective teaching of Rafter or Bianchi, the motivation being to optimize the strength of the cover (Rafter, metal) or to optimize the comfort in holding the key head cover (Bianchi, thermoplastic). Claims 12-19 are regarded as product-by-process claims, and do not patentably define over the modified DM reference.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DM reference in view of Cicourel and either Rafter or Bianchi as applied to claim 2 (assumed) above, and further in view of an additional teaching of the DM reference or Lesko.

Fig. 9.1 of the DM reference teaches depressed tactile indicia, as does Lesko in the central part of the key head in fig. 1. It would have been obvious to substitute a depressed tactile feature for one of the features of Figs. 6.2, 6.3, 2.2, 2.3 of the DM reference, in view of an additional teaching of the DM reference or Lesko, the motivation being to prevent the tactile feature from being snagged on clothing, or falling off of the key head.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,747

Art Unit: 3676

ontrol Hamber. 10/000,74

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DM reference as modified by Cicourel and either Rafter or Bianchi as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of an additional teaching of the DM reference.

Fig. 3.3 of the DM reference teaches a braid embodiment. It would have been obvious to modify the shape of the indicia at the bottom edges of the figure 2.2 embodiment of the DM reference to be of a braid shape, in view of the teaching of the figure 3.3 embodiment of the DM reference, the motivation being to be able to quickly discern one key from another.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the DM reference as modified by Cicourel and either Rafter or Bianchi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sheldon.

Sheldon teaches a key ring securement used to hold multiple keys, wherein the multiple keys have different identifiers 18, 18a (column 3, lines 9-11). It would have been obvious to utilize multiple keys of the DM reference as modified by Cicourel and either Rafter or Bianchi, with different tactile features located on the same key ring, in view of the teaching of Sheldon, the motivation being to allow an individual to carry multiple keys and distinguish the keys by their tactile features.

Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments on pages 10-12 are regarded as moot in view of the new grounds of rejection set forth above. It is submitted that the remarks on page 13 concerning the rejection of claim 5 do not specifically set forth why the combined references do not meet the limitations of claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,747 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LG LG March 8, 2006 Lloyd A. Gali Primary Examiner